

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,761	05/26/2004	Ming-Chien Chiu	-	3760
43995	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
GUDENG PRECISION INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.			MATHEWS, ALAN A	
2F-4, NO. 14 TAIPEI,	8, SEC. 4, CHUNG HSIA	O EAST ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••	i .		14 1/-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,761	CHIU, MING-CHIEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan A. Mathews	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for alled closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal materials		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyangerection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: In paragraph # 5, "the protrusion 102 is subject to friction with the Chromium (Cr) deposition on the bottom surface of the protrusion 102" is unclear. In paragraph # 5, "Wherein the Chromium (Cr) deposition on the bottom surface is extremely precise circuit protecting shroud layer so jointwith a jacket membrane 201a to stop the dust particle off the focus of photomask 201" is unclear. In addition, there are numerous ideomatic errors in the specification. In view of the extensive corrections needed, a substitute specification may be appropriate.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 is required to be rewritten as a single sentence (see MPEP608.01(m)). In addition, in claim 1, line 3, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the protrusions". Furthermore, in claim 1, line 10, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the through hole". Also, in claim 1, lines 15 and 16, there is no proper

Art Unit: 2851

antecedent basis for "the Chromium (Cr) deposition". In claim 3, line 2, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the pedestal".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims do not clearly set forth the elements and/or structurally correlate the elements. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action (paragraph # 3), and amended to correct the objections recited in paragraph #2 (i.e., rewriting claim 1 as a single sentence and providing antecedent basis for the terms in the claims). The allowability of claims 1-4 is predicated upon not removing any of the limitations currently in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to del Puerto et al. U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0227605 A1) is cited to show a reticle holder and the disclosure in paragraph #51 that particles are generated as a result of friction and the disclosure in paragraph #82 that locating features 5a have very tight clearances with their corresponding locating features 5b. The patents to del Puerto et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0218728 A1), Freed, Abe et al., Nakazato et al., Nakahara et al., Fosnight et al., and Irie et al. are cited to show mask holders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan A. Mathews whose telephone number is (571) 272-2123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alan A. Mathews

Olan a. Mathen

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

AM